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Abstract

The locus coeruleus (LC) provides the primary noradrenergic inputs to the cerebral cortex. Despite numerous animal studies documenting the functions of the LC, research in humans is hampered by the small volume of this midbrain nucleus. Here, we took advantage of a probabilistic template, explored the cerebral functional connectivity of the LC with resting-state fMRI data of 250 healthy adults, and verified the findings by accounting for physiological noise in another data set. In addition, we contrasted connectivities of the LC and the ventral tegmental area/substantia nigra pars compacta. The results highlighted both shared and distinct connectivity of these 2 midbrain structures, as well as an opposite pattern of connectivity to bilateral amygdala, pulvinar, and right anterior insula. Additionally, LC connectivity to the fronto-parietal cortex and the cerebellum increases with age and connectivity to the visual cortex decreases with age. These findings may facilitate studies of the role of the LC in arousal, saliency responses and cognitive motor control and in the behavioral and cognitive manifestations during healthy and disordered aging. Although the first to demonstrate whole-brain LC connectivity, these findings need to be confirmed with high-resolution imaging.
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Introduction

Cognitive functions, such as working memory, attention, and executive control, are influenced by catecholamines [Harley 1991; Coull et al. 1999; Aston-Jones and Cohen 2005; Arnsten 2007; Minzenberg et al. 2008; Kahnt and Tobler 2013; Clewett et al. 2014; see also Bari and Robbins (2013), Li (2013), Clark and Noudoost (2014), and Rubia et al. (2014) for a review]. Midbrain dopaminergic (DA) neurons in the ventral tegmental area/substantia nigra pars compacta (VTA/SNC) and noradrenergic (NA) neurons in the locus coeruleus (LC) are major sources of these influences. NA and DA systems are both involved in motivated behaviors (Chandler, Waterhouse, et al. 2014, for a review); while pharmacological studies described the influence of NA and DA manipulations on cognitive and affective functioning, it is not clear how LC and VTA/SNC contribute to these processes by interacting with other brain regions.
There is evidence for diverse rather than homogeneous cerebral projections of the 2 systems (Chandler, Waterhouse, et al. 2014), with important etiology and treatment implication for mental disorders (Arnsten and Li 2005; Del Campo et al. 2011; Bari and Robbins 2013; Hamon and Blier 2013). DA and NA signaling may be involved in functionally opposing processes. For instance, aversive stimuli activated NA but inhibited DA signaling, whereas palatable stimuli inhibited norepinephrine while causing dopamine release, in the bed nucleus of stria terminals (Park et al. 2012). On the other hand, the NA and DA systems interact functionally. Studies have provided evidence supporting regulation of LC connectivity by DA. Extracellular dopamine in the cerebral cortex originates not only from DA but also from NA terminals [see Devoto and Flore (2006) for a review], and synaptic dopamine is captured by both norepinephrine and dopamine transporters (Bymaster et al. 2002; Carboni et al. 2006). Dopamine binds to alpha-2 adrenergic receptors albeit with lower affinity (Cornil and Ball 2008). Both dopamine and norepinephrine bind to dopamine D4 receptors, which may be activated at least in part through volume transmission, as suggested by a mismatch between D4 and tyrosine hydroxylase/dopamine beta-hydroxylase immunoreactivity (Rivera et al. 2008). Chemical modulation or electrical stimulation of the LC alters both norepinephrine and dopamine concentration in the cerebral cortex, and NA fibers may be the primary source of a DA-mediated increase in synaptic transmission in the hippocampus (Smith and Greene 2012). LC may contribute to DA transmission under physiological conditions and in response to antidepressants and drugs of abuse. Taken together, these studies suggest neurobiological bases for both shared and distinct roles of the NA and DA systems in a wide range of cerebral processes.

Analysis of resting-state fMRI data has proved to be a useful approach to characterizing functional architecture of a brain region. Specifically, low-frequency blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) signal fluctuations reflect connectivity between functionally related brain regions (Biswal et al. 1995; Fair et al. 2007; Fox and Raichle 2007). For instance, based on correlation in spontaneous BOLD activity, investigators have used various clustering algorithms to identify voxels of similar connectivity and describe functional subdivisions of the thalamus (Zhang et al. 2008, 2010), basal ganglia (Barnes et al. 2010), medial superior frontal cortex (Kim et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2012), anterior cingulate cortex (ACC; Margulies et al. 2007), orbitofrontal cortex (Kahn et al. 2012), cerebellum (O’Reilly et al. 2010), and precuneus (Margulies et al. 2009; Cauda et al. 2010; Zhang and Li 2012a).

Recently, Tomasi and Volkow (2014) characterized functional connectivity of the VTA/SNC in adolescents and young adults. Both the VTA and SNC demonstrated bilateral positive connectivity with the globus pallidus, cerebellar vermis, and ACC, and negative connectivity with the occipital cortex. The transition from adolescence to young adulthood is marked by increased connectivity to limbic regions and default mode network and by decreased connectivity to motor and medial temporal cortices. Murty et al. (2014) employed manually drawn masks and showed greater SNC connectivity to the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, somatomotor cortex, superior temporal gyrus, and inferior parietal lobule, and greater VTA connectivity to the nucleus accumbens, hippocampus, cerebellum, and posterior midbrain. However, to our knowledge, no studies have described whole-brain functional connectivity of the LC during resting state.

The current study aimed to employ a probabilistic map of LC recently developed by Keren et al. (2009) and characterize whole-brain functional connectivity of the LC, as a step to understanding the systems-level connectivity of this midbrain structure in humans. In particular, we compared the functional connectivities of LC and VTA/SNC in the hope to delineate the shared and distinct circuits of these 2 structures and further our understanding of the interacting roles of LC and VTA/SNC in health and illness. Additional goals were to explore the effects of age on the functional connectivities of the LC and VTA/SNC. Aging is associated with changes in catecholamine levels in the brain (Goldman-Rakic and Brown 1981; Wenk et al. 1989). While the VTA/SNC is known to demonstrate age-related degeneration (Huang et al. 1995; Yoshimoto et al. 1998; Dreher et al. 2008), less is understood of age-related changes in the LC. Thus, examining age-dependent patterns of LC connectivity may facilitate research of the neural bases of mild cognitive impairment, Alzheimer’s disease, and other degenerative disorders that implicate NA systems.

Although this study is exploratory, we had a few hypotheses in place. First, as there is shared synaptic action and regulation of dopamine and norepinephrine, as described above, we posited that LC and VTA/SNC would demonstrate connectivity overlapping across multiple brain regions. Second, we posited a more significant LC than VTA/SNC connectivity to the thalamus, amygdala, and brain stem, which are implicated in LC-mediated arousal (Macefield et al. 2013; Colavito et al. 2015) and contain high density of norepinephrine transporter (NET; Wilson et al. 2003; Smith and Porrino 2008), dopamine-β-hydroxylase, an enzyme that converts dopamine to norepinephrine (Agarwal et al. 1993; Ginsberg et al. 1993; Baldo et al. 2003), as well as norepinephrine and its metabolites (Fahn et al. 1971; Moses and Robins 1975; Mackay et al. 1978; Herregodts et al. 1991). In contrast, the basal ganglia including both ventral and dorsal striatum would demonstrate higher VTA/SNC than LC connectivity because of its heavy DA innervations (Hortnagl et al. 1983; al-Tikriti et al. 1995; Schonbachler et al. 2002). Third, there is evidence that the DA system is evolutionally more ancient than the NA system (Moret et al. 2004; Caveney et al. 2006) and the limbic regions including the parahippocampal gyrus and certain midline brain regions are phylogenetically older (Panksepp and Biven 2012). Furthermore, histochemistry showed that more VTA than LC cells are retrogradely labeled that project to the medial prefrontal cortex, orbitofrontal cortex, and ACC (Chandler et al. 2013). Thus, we posited that there would be more significant LC and VTA/SNC connectivity each to lateral and midline cerebral structures. A systems-level characterization of the cerebral connectivity of LC and VTA/SNC would complement studies of pharmacological manipulations to advance research of DA and NA functions.

Materials and Methods

Resting-State Data

Resting-state fMRI scans were pooled from 3 data sets (Leiden_2180/Leiden_2200, Newark, and Beijing_Zang, n = 144), downloadable from the 1000 Functional Connectomes Project (Biswal et al. 2010), and our own data (n = 106). In selecting the data, we tried to include as many subjects as possible in order to have more stable findings in the current study, as in our earlier work (Zhang et al. 2012; Zhang and Li 2014). We used only datasets acquired under conditions identical to our own [e.g., similar repetition time (TR), all under 3 T, all eyes closed]. Individual subjects’ images were viewed one by one to ensure that the whole brain was covered. A total of 250 healthy subjects’ resting-state data (18–49 years of age; 104 men; one scan per participant; duration: 4.5–10 min) were analyzed. Table 1 summarizes these data sets.
Imaging Data Preprocessing

Brain imaging data were preprocessed using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM 8, Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, University College London, UK). Images from the first 5 TRs at the beginning of each trial were discarded to enable the signal to achieve steady-state equilibrium between RF pulsing and relaxation. Standard image preprocessing was performed. Images of each individual subject were first realigned (motion corrected) and corrected for slice timing. A mean functional image volume was constructed for each subject per run from the realigned image volumes. These mean images were co-registered with the high-resolution structural image and then segmented for normalization with affine registration followed by nonlinear transformation (Friston et al. 1995; Ashburner and Friston 1999). The normalization parameters determined for the structure volume were then applied to the corresponding functional image volumes for each subject. Finally, the images were smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of 8 mm at full width at half maximum. While an 8-mm smoothing kernel is advantageous in characterizing cortical connectivity, in a separate set of analysis, we examined the connectivities of the LC and VTA/SNC using the same data smoothed with a 4-mm kernel.

Additional preprocessing was applied to reduce spurious BOLD variances that were unlikely to reflect neuronal activity (Rombouts et al. 2003; Fox et al. 2005; Fair et al. 2007; Fox and Raichle 2007). The sources of spurious variance were removed through linear regression by including the signal from the ventricular system, white matter, and whole brain, in addition to the 6 parameters obtained by rigid body head motion correction. First-order derivatives of the whole brain, ventricular, and white matter signals were also included in the regression.

Cordes et al. (2001) suggested that BOLD fluctuations below a frequency of 0.1 Hz contribute to regionally specific BOLD correlations. Thus, we applied a temporal band-pass filter (0.009 Hz < f < 0.08 Hz) to the time course in order to obtain low-frequency fluctuations, as in previous studies (Lowe et al. 1998; Fox et al. 2005; Fair et al. 2007; Fox and Raichle 2007).

Head Motion

As extensively investigated in Van Dijk et al. (2012), micro-head motion (>0.1 mm) is an important source of spurious correlations in resting-state functional connectivity analysis (Van Dijk et al. 2012). Therefore, we applied a “scrubbing” method proposed by Power et al. (2012) and successfully applied in previous studies (Smyser et al. 2010; Power et al. 2012; Tomasi and Volkow 2014) to remove time points affected by head motions. Briefly, for every time point t, we computed the “frame-wise displacement” given by $FD(t) = |\Delta_{x}(t)| + |\Delta_{y}(t)| + |\Delta_{z}(t)| + r|a(t)| + r|\beta(t)| + r|\gamma(t)|$, where $(\Delta_{x}, \Delta_{y}, \Delta_{z})$ and $(a, \beta, \gamma)$ are the translational and rotational movements, respectively, and $r$ (50 mm) is a constant that approximates the mean distance between the center of Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space and the cortex and transforms rotations into displacements (Power et al. 2012). The second head movement metric was the root mean square variance (DVARS) of the differences in % BOLD intensity $I(t)$ between consecutive time points across brain voxels, computed as follows: $DVARS(t) = \sqrt{\langle(I(t) - I(t - 1))^{2}\rangle}$, where the brackets indicate the mean across brain voxels. Finally, to compute each subject’s correlation map, we removed every time point that exceeded the head motion limit $FD(t) > 0.5$ mm or DVARS($t$) > 0.5% (Power et al. 2012; Tomasi and Volkow 2014). On average, 1% of the time points was removed across subjects.

Seed Regions: LC and VTA/SNC

These 2 regions of interest (ROIs) are shown in Figure 1. We used a probabilistic template of the LC derived by Keren et al. (2009). The LC seed region represents the extent of peak LC signal distribution, obtained from a sample of 44 healthy adults (age range: 19–79 years) using high-resolution $T_{1}$-weighted Turbo Spin Echo ($T_{1}$-TSE) MRI, and has a volume of 93 mm$^{3}$. The $T_{1}$-TSE LC signals were likely influenced by the ferrous neuromelanin metabolites within LC neurons (Sasaki et al. 2006) and observed in sections corresponding to the greatest concentrations of LC cells in postmortem studies (German et al. 1988). The VTA/SNC region was derived from the structural MRIs of 30 healthy cells; after spatial normalization and averaging across subjects, the size of the bilateral mask was 1106 mm$^{3}$ (Ahsan et al. 2007).

Because these seeds are located in the brain stem and, in particular, the LC is adjacent to the fourth ventricle, BOLD signals in these regions can be confounded by physiological noise. We thus examined the effect of physiological noise in a Nathan Kline Institute (NKI)/Rockland sample (Nooner et al. 2012) of resting-state fMRI images of 20 healthy volunteers (23–41 years of age; 10 men; one scan per participant; duration: 5 min, gradient-echo EPI pulse sequence, TR = 2.5 s, echo time = 30 ms, flip angle = 80°, voxel size = $3.0 \times 3.0 \times 3.0$ mm, 38 axial slices in 3.0 mm thickness covering the entire brain) of the 1000 Functional Connectomes Project (http://www.nitrc.org/projects/fcon_1000/). In this sample, cardiac and respiratory signals were continuously recorded during resting-state fMRI. Data underwent identical preprocessing including smoothing with an 8-mm Gaussian kernel, as described in the section “Imaging Data Preprocessing”, so the results could be compared. An SPM toolbox (DRIFTER, http://besc.aalto.fi/en/research/bayes/driver/) was applied to remove the physiological noises. Briefly, a model-based Bayesian method was used for retrospective elimination of physiological noise from fMRI data (Sarkka et al. 2012). The frequency trajectories of the physiological signals were first estimated by the interacting...
multiple models filter algorithm. In a state-space model in combination of a Kalman filter and Rauch-Tung-Striebel smoother BOLD time courses were then separated into a cleaned activation-related signal, physiological noise, and white noise. Finally, the cleaned fMRI data were used to extract whole-brain correlation maps of the LC.

Seed Region-Based Linear Correlation and Random-Effects Analysis

The BOLD time courses were averaged spatially over each of the 2 seeds. For individual subjects, we computed the correlation coefficient between the averaged time course of each seed region and the time courses of all other brain voxels. To assess and compare the resting-state functional connectivity, we converted these image maps, which were not normally distributed, to z score maps by Fisher’s z transform (Jenkins and Watts 1968; Berry and Mielke 2000): \[ z = 0.5 \log_{e} \left( \frac{1 + r}{1 - r} \right) \]. The Z maps were used in group random-effects analyses. We performed one-sample t-test each on the Z maps of LC and VTA/SNc and paired-sample t-test comparing the 2 Z maps.

Shared Cerebral Connectivity Between the LC and VTA/SNc

We identified cerebral connectivity shared between the LC and VTA/SNc, and used MarsBaR (http://marsbar.sourceforge.net/) to extract the effect size (z score) of connectivity for individual subjects. First, ROIs were identified each for positive and negative connectivities—each termed “positive ROIs” and “negative ROIs” for convenience—to LC and VTA/SNc (one-sample t-test, \( P < 0.05 \), corrected for familywise error of multiple comparisons). Shared brain regions were then identified by a logical “AND” between “positive ROIs” of LC and VTA/SNc, between “negative ROIs” of LC and VTA/SNc, as well as between “positive ROIs” of LC and “negative ROIs” of VTA/SNc, and between “negative ROIs” of LC and “positive ROIs” of VTA/SNc.

To examine whether LC and VTA/SN connectivity to each of the shared ROIs are correlated across subjects, we performed a linear regression between the effect size of connectivity for each of the ROIs with shared LC and VTA/SNc connectivity. That is, pairwise linear regressions were performed between correlation z scores each of the LC and VTA/SNc to these ROIs. Because some of the ROIs were large and encompassed more than one region, we combined these ROIs with anatomical masks from the MNI template (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al. 2002) to define distinct regions. We reported only findings significant at \( P < 0.05 \) corrected for multiple comparisons (see Results).

Age-Dependent Changes and Gender Differences in LC and VTA/SNc Connectivity

We performed a simple regression of the Z maps against age, each for the LC and VTA/SNc, to identify age-related changes of functional connectivity in the 2 structures. To examine gender differences, we compared men and women with age as a covariate in an analysis of variance, each for the LC and VTA/SNc. Again, all results were reported for a corrected threshold.

Results

Whole-Brain Functional Connectivity of the LC and VTA/SNc

For each seed region, we performed one-sample t-test of the Z maps across the group (\( n = 250 \); Fig. 2A, B).

The results showed positive LC connectivity to bilateral superior frontal gyrus, primary motor cortex, inferior parietal cortex, inferior temporal cortex, anterior parahippocampal gyrus, posterior insula, putamen, pallidum, ventrolateral thalamus, midbrain, and large areas of the cerebellum. LC showed negative connectivity to a large region of bilateral visual cortex, middle/superior temporal cortex, precuneus, retrosplenial cortex, posterior parahippocampal gyrus, frontopolar cortex, caudate nucleus, as well as dorsal and medial thalamus (Fig. 2A).

The VTA/SNc showed positive connectivity with the dorso medial prefrontal cortex including the supplementary motor area (SMA), pre-SMA, and dorsal ACC, as well as the rostral, pergenual, and subgenual ACC, ventrolateral/posterior thalamus including the pulvinar, ventral striatum, putamen, pallidum, insula, posterior cingulate cortex, anterior parahippocampal gyrus, inferior temporal cortex and temporal pole, midbrain, and large areas of the cerebellum. The VTA/SNc showed negative connectivity with bilateral visual cortex, posterior parietal cortex, precuneus, posterior parahippocampal gyrus, precentral cortex,
middle/superior temporal cortex, frontopolar cortex, dorsomedial thalamus, and caudate head (Fig. 2B). These results replicated previous findings of resting-state VTA/SNC connectivity with thalamus, ACC, insula, pallidum, vermis, as well as occipital cortex (Gu et al. 2010; Hadley et al. 2014; Murty et al. 2014; Tomasi and Volkow 2014).

We performed a paired t-test to compare functional connectivity of the LC and VTA/SNC (Fig. 2C). Compared with the VTA/SNC, LC showed greater connectivity to the bilateral visual, parietal, and somatomotor cortex, as well as midline cerebellar structures, and less connectivity to dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, middle and posterior cingulate cortex, ventromedial prefrontal cortex, inferior temporal cortex, anterior insula, thalamus, and the midbrain. We summarize these results in Tables 2 and 3, where we further distinguish whether a contrast arises from differences in positive or negative connectivity.

As described in the Materials and Methods section, we also examined the results with a 4-mm smoothing kernel. Visual inspection of the results showed that, while, as expected, there were diminished cortical cluster sizes, the pattern of connectivity was nearly identical to the results of 8 mm kernel (Supplementary Fig. 1), in accord with our previous work (Zhang et al. 2012; Zhang and Li 2012a). Furthermore, because the LC seed was small and close to the fourth ventricle, we examined the effects of physiological noise using the NIKI/Rockland sample. First, the patterns of connectivity with and without accounting for physiological noise appeared to be similar, at least for regions that show more significant connectivity to LC (Supplementary Fig. 2). Second, we examined whether or to what extent functional connectivities of the NIKI/Rockland sample mirrored those of the original sample. While the difference in sample precluded a direct comparison, we computed the effect size of connectivity of the 116 ROIs of the AAL atlas for the original sample and the NIKI/Rockland sample with and without the physiological noise removed. Pairwise regressions showed that the LC connectivity of these 116 ROIs was each highly correlated ($P < 2.1 \times 10^{-34}, r = 0.86-0.92$; Fig. 3). These results suggest that physiological noise has limited influence on LC functional connectivity, and that whole-brain connectivities of the original and NIKI/Rockland samples are consistent.

Considering that the LC ($93 \text{ mm}^3$) is much smaller than the VTA/SNC ($1106 \text{ mm}^3$) seed, we examined whether volume size may affect the current results. Therefore, we created a smaller VTA/SNC mask ($size = 96 \text{ mm}^3$) by stripping off a layer of one voxel and reran the analysis. The smaller VTA/SNC seed showed almost identical one-sample t-test results across 250 subjects.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume (mm$^3$)</th>
<th>Peak voxel (Z)</th>
<th>MNI coordinate</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Identified brain region</th>
<th>Connectivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47 115</td>
<td>Inf</td>
<td>−3 −37 −23</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Cerebellum (midline structures)</td>
<td>++ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215 865</td>
<td>Inf</td>
<td>−33 −76 −2</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Middle occipital gyrus</td>
<td>−− −</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inf</td>
<td>24 −82 16</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Middle occipital gyrus</td>
<td>−− −</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inf</td>
<td>18 −79 22</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Cuneus/parietal/somatomotor cortices</td>
<td>−/− −/−</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4455</td>
<td>Inf</td>
<td>18 20 16</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Caudate head</td>
<td>−− −</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4131</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>−24 44 −8</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Orbitofrontal/anterior middle frontal gyri</td>
<td>−− −</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>783</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>−45 −34 −14</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Middle/inferior temporal gyri</td>
<td>+ ~</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inf: infinity; R: right; L: left; +/−: positive and negative connectivity (with ++/−− for stronger connectivity); ∼: no significant connectivity at one-sample $t$-test, $P < 0.05$, FWE-corrected.
when compared with the original seed. A comparison of connectivity between the smaller VTA/SNc and LC also yielded very similar results as in the contrast between the original VTA/SNc and LC seeds (Supplementary Fig. 3).

We further examined the reliability and reproducibility of the results by rerunning the analysis using an open access test–retest dataset: NYU CSC TestRetest, which is fully available via [http://www.nitrc.org/projects/nyu_trt](http://www.nitrc.org/projects/nyu_trt) (Zuo, Di Martino, et al. 2010; Zuo, Kelly, et al. 2010). The results suggested that the main findings were the same (Supplementary Figs 4 and 5).

### Shared Cerebral Connectivity Between the LC and VTA/SNc

The LC and VTA/SNc shared positive connectivity (PosR) to putamen, pallidum, posterior insula, ventrolateral thalamus, midbrain, and large areas of the cerebellum (Fig. 4A, red). The LC and VTA/SNc shared negative connectivity (NegR) to the bilateral visual cortex, temporal cortex, precuneus, caudate nucleus, and dorsomedial thalamus (Fig. 4A, blue). These shared connectivities may reflect the common metabolic pathways and signaling mechanisms norepinephrine and dopamine partake in, as discussed in the Introduction section. In addition, bilateral amygdala, right anterior insula/inferior frontal cortex, pars orbitalis, and pulvinar showed negative connectivity to LC and positive connectivity to VTA/SNc (Fig. 4A, green). No brain regions showed both significant positive connectivity to LC and negative connectivity to VTA/SNc.

We further examined whether LC and VTA/SNc connectivities to the “shared” regions are correlated across subjects. As described in the Materials and Methods section, because some of the areas with shared connectivity comprised multiple regions, we used anatomical masks to further distinguish the regions within each cluster. As a result, we have 11 regions with PosR, 9 regions with NegR, and 3 regions with negative connectivity to LC and positive connectivity to VTA/SNc (LC_NegR and VTA_PosR). These individual regions are labeled in Figure 4. For each of these regions, we performed a linear regression of LC and VTA/SNc connectivities across subjects. Figure 4 showed a matrix of the r values for regressions that were significant at P < 0.05 corrected for 211 (112 + 92 + 32) comparisons (i.e., uncorrected P < 0.05/211 = 0.000237). All of these regions showed a significant correlation in LC and VTA/SNc connectivity, irrespective of its sign, across subjects. Of all positive connectivities to both seeds, about one-third (33.9%) showed a significant positive cross-regional correlation (Fig. 4B). Of all negative connectivities to both seeds, 14.8% showed a cross-regional correlation (Fig. 4C).

### The Effects of Age and Gender on LC and VTA/SNc Connectivity

In a simple regression, LC connectivity to the angular gyrus, middle frontal gyrus, as well as cerebellum showed positive correlations with age and LC connectivity to the parahippocampus, parieto–occipital fissure, precuneus, and cuneus showed negative correlations with age (Fig. 5A and Table 4). VTA/SNc connectivity to the superior and middle frontal gyri and cerebellum showed positive correlation and to the postcentral gyrus showed a negative correlation with age (Fig. 5B and Table 4).

In a covariance analysis with age as a covariate, we examined gender differences. Men showed greater connectivity between LC and midbrain, hippocampus, parahippocampus, and middle temporal gyrus than women (Fig. 5C and Table 5). There is no gender difference for VTA/SNc connectivity.

---

**Table 3** Regions showing greater connectivity with VTA/SNc when compared with the LC; paired t-test, n = 250

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume (mm³)</th>
<th>Peak voxel (z)</th>
<th>MNI coordinate x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>Side R/L</th>
<th>Identified brain region</th>
<th>Connectivity LC</th>
<th>VTA/SNc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>185 544</td>
<td>Inf</td>
<td>−16 −16 −14 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Midbrain/insula/vpallidum/vputamen/PHG/ITC/MCC/PCC</td>
<td>+ ++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inf</td>
<td>−6 −16 −14 L</td>
<td>Midbrain/insula/vpallidum/vputamen/PHG/ITC/MCC/PCC</td>
<td>+ ++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inf</td>
<td>9 −16 7 R</td>
<td>Thalamus*</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 037</td>
<td>Inf</td>
<td>−94 −14 R/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Calcarine sulcus</td>
<td>−−−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inf</td>
<td>−9 −91 −26 L</td>
<td>Cerebellum (posterior and lateral cortex)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>~</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 164</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>62 −5 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mOFC/vmPFC/dmPFC</td>
<td>−−−−−</td>
<td>−+/−/+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inf: infinity, R: right, L: left, vpallidum: ventral pallidum, vputamen: ventral putamen, PHG: parahippocampal gyrus; ITC: inferior temporal cortex; MCC: middle cingulate cortex; PCC: posterior cingulate cortex; vmPFC: ventromedial prefrontal cortex; dmPFC: dorsomedial prefrontal cortex; “: with subareal variation, “+/−” positive and negative connectivity (with +/− = for stronger connectivity); ~: non-significant connectivity at one-sample t-test, P < 0.05, FWE-corrected.

**Figure 3.** Pairwise regressions of the effect size of LC connectivity to the 116 AAL regions between the original sample, NKI sample, and NKI with physiological noise removed.

---

**Figure 4.**

A. A matrix of the r values for regressions that were significant at P < 0.05 corrected for 211 (112 + 92 + 32) comparisons (i.e., uncorrected P < 0.05/211 = 0.000237). All of these regions showed a significant correlation in LC and VTA/SNc connectivity, irrespective of its sign, across subjects. Of all positive connectivities to both seeds, about one-third (33.9%) showed a significant positive cross-regional correlation (Fig. 4B). Of all negative connectivities to both seeds, 14.8% showed a cross-regional correlation (Fig. 4C).

---

**Figure 5.**

A. In a simple regression, LC connectivity to the angular gyrus, middle frontal gyrus, as well as cerebellum showed positive correlations with age and LC connectivity to the parahippocampus, parieto–occipital fissure, precuneus, and cuneus showed negative correlations with age (Fig. 5A and Table 4). VTA/SNc connectivity to the superior and middle frontal gyri and cerebellum showed positive correlation and to the postcentral gyrus showed a negative correlation with age (Fig. 5B and Table 4).

B. In a covariance analysis with age as a covariate, we examined gender differences. Men showed greater connectivity between LC and midbrain, hippocampus, parahippocampus, and middle temporal gyrus than women (Fig. 5C and Table 5). There is no gender difference for VTA/SNc connectivity.
Discussion

Functional Connectivity Shared Between the LC and VTA/SNc

The LC showed both shared and distinct cerebral connectivity, when compared with VTA/SNc. The LC and VTA/SNc PosR to putamen, pallidum, posterior insula, ventrolateral thalamus, midbrain, and large areas of the cerebellum; and NegR to bilateral visual cortex, middle/superior temporal cortex, precuneus, posterior cingulate cortex, caudate nucleus, and medial thalamus. The posterior insula receives inputs from primary sensory cortices (Craig 2011), and the putamen, pallidum, and ventrolateral thalamus comprise the striato-thalamic circuit that gates motor output (Hikosaka 2007; Li et al. 2008; Polania et al. 2012). In support of our hypothesis of shared NA and DA connectivity, these results suggest a role of both the NA and DA systems in orienting and sensorimotor responses to external stimuli. Furthermore, LC and VTA/SNc each has widespread connectivities, and thus, the current findings do not appear to mirror studies of histochemistry that reported a more general NA and restricted DA cerebral distributions (Levitt et al. 1984).

A few issues are notable from these findings. First, although a vast literature supports DA innervations of the striatum, the current results provide evidence for NA modulation of the striatal circuits, whether the modulation is mediated by direct or collateral anatomical projections (Room et al. 1981; Moore and Card 1984); LC and VTA/SNc connectivities to the putamen and pallidum are highly correlated across subjects (P < 1 × 10^{-12}; Fig. 4). Similarly, while the thalamus has largely been thought of receiving only NA inputs from the midbrain (Jones 2007), the current findings demonstrate DA modulation of thalamic activity, and the strength of NA and DA connectivities are correlated across subjects for ventrolateral (P < 1 × 10^{-21}; LC and VTA/SNc connectivity both positive) and dorsomedial (P < 1 × 10^{-13}; LC and VTA/SNc connectivity both negative) thalamus. This finding is consistent with more recent work showing concentration of dopamine transporter in the mediiodorsal and ventral motor thalamus in macaque monkeys (Garcia-Cabezas et al. 2009).
Second, both LC and VTA/SNc showed positive connectivity to the putamen and pallidum but negative connectivity to the caudate head. This suggests that the caudate head is functionally distinct from the sensorimotor striatum (Arsalidou et al. 2013), consistent with differential dorsomedial prefrontal connectivities of these striatal subregions (Zhang et al. 2012). While sensorimotor striatum is connected to the primary motor areas and SMA, the caudate is connected to the pre-SMA (Di Martino et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2012). Thus, LC and VTA/SNc connectivity entrain the putamen and pallidum in rapid actions to external stimuli, but these ascending inputs may suppress immediate caudate response in favor of planned actions (Grahn et al. 2008). Third, consistent with known functions of the default network, LC and VTA/SNc negatively modulate the precuneus. That is, the default network regions deactivate in responses to environmental stimuli, as part of an “alert and orient” system (Li et al. 2007; Zhang and Li 2010, 2012a, 2012c).

Differences in Functional Connectivity of the LC and VTA/SNc

LC and VTA/SNc also showed differences in functional connectivities. Compared with the VTA/SNc, LC showed less negative connectivity to bilateral visual, parietal, and somatomotor cortices, as well as greater positive connectivity to midline cerebellar structures. In addition, LC showed less positive connectivity to ventromedial and dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, middle and posterior cingulate cortex, inferior temporal cortex, ventral

---

**Table 4 Age correlation in seed-based connectivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume (mm³)</th>
<th>Peak voxel (Z)</th>
<th>MNI coordinate</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Identified brain region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive correlation, LC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 995</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>−49</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8370</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>−82</td>
<td>−50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2997</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>−15</td>
<td>−85</td>
<td>−41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3105</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>−42</td>
<td>−67</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3159</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative correlation, LC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 044</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−61</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive correlation, VTA/SNc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7776</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>−46</td>
<td>−50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3051</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative correlation, VTA/SNc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7746</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>−51</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: voxel P < 0.001 uncorrected and cluster-level P < 0.05, FWE-corrected.

R: right; L: left.
Opposing Pattern of Connectivity Between the LC and VTA/SNc

Bilateral amygdala, right anterior insula, thalamus, and the midbrain. The latter findings are consistent with more extensive DA innervation of the ventral striatum, parahippocampal gyrus, and midline brain regions, as we posited. However, more broadly, it is difficult to relate these findings to the extent of NA and DA innervations of the various cortical and subcortical structures, as studies of neurochemical mapping did not directly contrast the 2 systems (Simpson et al. 1997; Sanchez-Gonzalez et al. 2005). A few findings from the literature seem consistent with these findings. For instance, DA agonists are known to suppress visually evoked myoclonus or epileptic seizures (Quesney et al. 1980; Obeso et al. 1985) in accord with negative VTA/SNc connectivity to sensorimotor cortex. Greater positive VTA/SNc than LC connectivity to the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex is consistent with DA signal in mediating prediction error (Schultz and Dickinson 2000; Aggarwal et al. 2012; Ide et al. 2013). It is also possible that, while the LC supports a general state or arousal, the VTA/SNc is involved in titrating moment-to-moment need to support goal-directed behavior. The finding of less LC than VTA/SNc connectivity to the thalamus seems at odds with previous reports of heavy concentration of NET in the thalamus (Ding et al. 2003). On the other hand, with manganese-enhanced MRI, Eschenko et al. (2012) identified only sparse LC projections to the thalamus. One possibility is that extracellular dopamine in the cerebral cortex and thalamus may originate not only from DA but also from NA terminals (Devoto and Flore 2006, for a review), and the NET serves to regulate synaptic turnover of not only norepinephrine but also dopamine in the thalamus (Moron et al. 2002). There is a notable difference in subregional connectivity in the thalamus. While ventrolateral/posteromedial thalamus showed positive connectivity to both the LC and VTA/SNc, less positivity connectivity is observed, with the dorsal and medial thalamus showing greater negative connectivity, to the LC. These observations speak to the importance in clearly delineating subregions in characterizing thalamic activations and connectivities.

Comparison with Anatomical Mapping of LC and VTA/SNc in Animals

We summarized and contrasted the connectivity findings with previous anatomical studies in monkeys, cats, and rodents (Supplementary Table 1). The results appeared largely consistent, with very few brain regions showing functional connectivity (either positive or negative) in humans but not anatomical connectivity in animals or vice versa. On the other hand, one is to caution against over-interpretation of these comparisons as identically named entities may represent functionally distinct structures across species.

Age-Related Connectivity of the LC

Previous imaging studies suggest that aging is related in a complicated way to cerebral functional connectivities, with different brain areas increasing and decreasing with age in connectivity to ROIs [Taniwaki et al. 2007; Ystad et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2012; Bernard et al. 2013; Campbell et al. 2013; Hafkemeijer et al. 2013; Roski et al. 2013; Hoffstaeter et al. 2015; see also Ferreira and Busatto (2013) for a review]. Here, we observed that age is associated with increased LC connectivity to angular gyrus, middle frontal gyrus, as well as cerebellum and decreased LC

Note: voxel P < 0.001 uncorrected and cluster-level P < 0.05, FWE-corrected.
R: right; L: left.
connectivity to the parahippocampus, parietal–occipital fissure, precuneous, and cuneus. The latter finding is consistent with a recent report of decreased LC parahippocampal connectivity in association with working memory deficits in elderly individuals with mild cognitive impairment (Jacobs et al. 2015). Along with work of age-related changes in the functional connectivity of the VTA (Tomasi and Volkow 2014), these findings may further our understanding of the pathophysiology of Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, and other degenerative conditions that implicate the catecholaminergic systems [Delaville et al. 2011; Isaias et al. 2011; Del Tredici and Braak 2013; Liu et al. 2013; Mravec et al. 2014; Takahashi et al. 2015; Jacobs et al. 2015; see also Trillo et al. (2013) for a review].

A Methodological Consideration

Negative functional connectivity has been observed and reported since the very beginning of the resting-state fMRI study (Biswal et al. 1995). Negative functional connectivity, also called anticorrelation, represents negative cross-correlation in spontaneous BOLD signal between 2 brain regions. It was suggested that the global signal regression, a common step of data preprocessing in seed region-based functional connectivity analyses, is a likely cause of anti-correlated functional networks (Murphy et al. 2009; Weisskencher et al. 2009). However, other investigations demonstrated that the negative correlations are not an artifact, but have biological origins (Fox et al. 2009; Chen et al. 2011; Chai et al. 2012). For instance, negative functional connectivity is associated predominantly with long-range connections and correlates with the shortest path length in the human brain network (Scholvinck et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2011; Schwarz and McGonigle 2011). Indeed, the negative correlations between brain regions with presumably opposing functional roles have been consistently observed in different studies (Greicius et al. 2003; Fox et al. 2005; Fransson et al. 2005; Kelly et al. 2008; Uddin et al. 2009; Chen et al. 2011), including those using independent component analysis, which does not involve global signal regression (Cole et al. 2010; Zuo, Kelly, et al. 2010; Zhang and Li 2012b). Furthermore, the existence of the negative functional connectivity was also suggested by computational simulations of cerebral network activities in both monkeys and humans (Honey et al. 2007; Izhikevich and Edelman 2008; Deco et al. 2009) and supported by simultaneous recording of unit activity and local field potential from task-positive and task-negative (default mode) networks in cats (Popa et al. 2009). Taken together, these earlier studies suggest functional significance of negative functional connectivity.

Limitations of the Study and Conclusions

There are a few limitations to consider. First, small size of the LC has hampered imaging research of the NA systems in humans. Here, we took advantage of a probabilistic template to identify resting-state functional connectivities of the LC. We used both a smoothing kernel of 8 and 4 mm in order to examine LC connectivity to both cortical and subcortical structures (Hopfinger et al. 2000) and observed very similar results. Furthermore, we identified a data set with concurrent recording of cardiac and respiratory signals. While the small sample size may pose issues to a direct comparison of results, ROI analyses suggested that the patterns of functional connectivity as reported for the original sample are independent of the physiological confounds. Moreover, because of the small size of the LC, intersubject variation in registration to a common template may affect the results. A recent study examined resting-state functional connectivity of the LC in both healthy individuals and early dementia patients (Jacobs et al. 2015). Registering the LC to each individual’s T1-weighted image by a high-resolution binary template previously validated in vivo, the authors reported positive connectivities to the hippocampus/parahippocampus as with the current findings. Nonetheless, small seed volume and low-resolution images remain critical issues, and the results need to be confirmed by higher resolution imaging. Second, it is important to note that functional connectivities between the LC and cerebral cortex are task-dependent (Coull et al. 1999), and that resting-state connectivities do not distinguish between tonic and phasic neuronal activities that are of distinct importance to cerebral functioning (Minzenberg et al. 2008). For instance, enhancing NA signaling with reboxetine increased activity in the right visual and fronto-parietal cortex during goal-directed hand movements (Grefkes et al. 2010), seemingly in contrast to the current finding of a negative connectivity between the LC and visual and parietal cortices. Without measures of behavioral performance, one cannot extrapolate functional relevance from the current findings. Third, it is important to note that the current sample contains participants in young to middle adulthood. Thus, the age-related findings should be considered as specific to this age range. Future research is needed to understand changes in LC connectivity in the elderly. Fourth, we did not distinguish between VTA and SNc which may show different patterns of connectivity that warrants further investigation (Kwon and Jang 2014). Likewise, LC neurons innervating discrete cortical regions are biochemically and electrophysiologically distinct, suggesting the complexity in characterizing LC connectivity as a whole (Chandler, Gao, et al. 2014). Finally, because extant work investigated NA or DA innervations separately (Lewis and Morrison 1989), immunohistochemical studies to examine the distribution of “both” systems in the same animals are needed to confirm the anatomical validity of these findings.

To conclude, LC showed a distinct pattern of cerebral functional connectivity that enhances attentional orienting and sensorimotor responses to salient stimuli. Taken together with recent work characterizing VTA/SNc connectivity, the current findings may have important implications for future research to characterize the distinct roles of NA and DA modulation of cerebral activations and connectivities during rest or task challenges in health and illness (Mueller et al. 2014).

Supplementary material

Supplementary Material can be found at: http://www.cercor.oxfordjournals.org/.
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